IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

ROBERT ROSS, et al., Plaintiffs

Case No. 2:05-cv-00819

(Lead Case)

v.

ABERCROMBIE & FITCH COMPANY, ET AL., Defendants JUDGE EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR. MAGISTRATE JUDGE TERENCE P. KEMP

ORDER

The Court hereby **ORDERS** that the Opinion and Order filed on May 21, 2009 (the "Opinion") (Document 329) is hereby **AMENDED** as follows. On page 20 of the Opinion, the paragraph immediately preceding the header "(a)(1) Alleged Contradictions," is hereby deleted and replaced with the following paragraph:

Defendants contend that Plaintiff does not meet this requirement due to Defendants' potential unique defenses against Plaintiff's claims, including (1) alleged contradictions between the allegations and the circumstances of Plaintiff's stock purchases and (2) Plaintiff's alleged lack of "purchaser standing." (Defs.' Mem. Opp'n 15–24, 31–34.)

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED

DATED

EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE